UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,463	01/10/2002	Davide R. Grassetti	107-000110US	9878	
	7590 11/13/200 LECTUAL PROPERT	8 Y LAW GROUP, P.C.	EXAM	IINER	
PO BOX 458	,		WANG, SHENGJUN  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ALAMEDA, C.	A 94501		EXAMINER  WANG, SHENGJUN  ART UNIT PAPER NUN  1617  MAIL DATE DELIVERY I	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,463	GRASSETTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shengjun Wang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sl Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. sriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)      Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal ma	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-16 and 20-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,7-9,13-16 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,10-12 and 20-24 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	e withdrawn from consideration	on.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/044,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted July 25, 2008 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims are directed to a method comprising administer the disulfide compounds herein to an individual in need of immune response modulation. Examples of those individuals are given in the specification, paragraphs 0095-0102, e.g., immune compromised patients (0100), patients with Lentivirus infection (paragraph 0099) is one of the examples. The effective amounts are defined as about 10 µg to about 5 g per kg of body weight (0087).

- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 10-12, and 20-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grassetti (US 4,378,364, IDS), as evidenced by Barber et al. (US 5,662,896) and Tagawa.
- 3. Grassetti teaches a method of lessening the pains and increasing the well-being of patients with carcinomas, including those undergo chemotherapy, an effective amount of 6,6'-dithiodinicotinic acid, wherein the preferred amounts is about 500 mg to about 900 mg per day. See, particularly, the examples, and the abstract and the claims. As to "modulating an immune response," or other limitations that further define the immune response (claims 10-12), recited in the preamble, it is noted that preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it

Page 3

merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

- 4. The added step in the claimed method: "identifying an individual in need of immune response modulation;" is inherently met by the method of treating cancer patient disclosed in the reference, as cancer patients are recognized as "in need of immune response modulation" See, the abstract in Tagawa and columns 1-2 in Barber et al.
- 5. Further, applicant's attention is directed to In re Swinehart, (169 USPQ 226 at 229) where the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals stated "is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by thing in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art." In the instant invention, the claims are directed to the ultimate utility set forth in the prior art, albeit distanced by various biochemical intermediates. The ultimate utility for the claimed compounds is old and well known rendering the claimed subject matter anticipated by the prior art.

## Response to the Arguments

Applicants' remarks submitted July 25, 2008 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the claimed invention is not anticipated because not all patients undergoing chemotherapy are in need of immunomodulation. The examiner respectfully disagrees, and maintains his position as stated in the prior office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/044,463 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

6. "The fact that some of the cancer patients are treated without the employment of immunomodulation does not mean those patients are not immune compromised. All cancer patients are somewhat immune compromised and therefore would meet the limitation of "patient in need thereof" herein. See, paragraph 0100 of the specification herein. Further, Grassetti teaches a method of lessening the pains and increasing the well-being of patients with carcinomas, including those undergo chemotherapy. Those undergo chemotherapy and with pain are deemed to be immune compromised."

## 7. Paragraph 0100 of this application states:

"TFDs can also be used to boost immune response in immunocompromised individuals, e.g., patients undergoing chemotherapy or individuals with genetic defects of immune function or the elderly. Patients undergoing chemotherapy may have lower than normal numbers of immune cells and the immune cells which are present may have had their functional activity compromised by the chemotherapy. In this case, it is beneficial to administer one or more TFD(s) to patients who are undergoing or have had chemotherapy treatment to boost their immune cell populations and functions."

Therefore, treatment of patients undergoing chemotherapy would meet the limitation of "in need thereof".

Furthermore, practicing of Grassetti's method would have inevitably practiced the claimed method as a method for treatment in medicinal art is understood as a method of massive treatment of patients in need of the treatment, even the "in need thereof" is narrowly interpretated as 30% of patients undergoing chemotherapy.

Applicants also argue that the claims are directed to a new method, however, fails to identify the difference between the claimed invention and the "ultimate utilities" disclosed in the prior art, i.e., treatment of patients undergoing chemotherapy with TFDs. The cited reference

Art Unit: 1617

teaches the employment of the same compound for treatment of the same patients with the same amounts herein and clearly anticipated the claimed invention.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/044,463 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617